UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,753	07/25/2007	Henrik Arnberg	15665-010US1	3748
26191 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/28/200 ARDSON P.C.	8	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1022	C NANI 55440 1000		CHANDRA, GYAN	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/599,753	ARNBERG, HENRIK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	GYAN CHANDRA	1646					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ju</u>	lv 2007						
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>_</del>						
<del>'=</del>	/ <del></del>						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		0 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	te					

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 16-29, as drawn to a method for treating a mammal suffering from a localized bacterial infection or a bacterial-related disease comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of granulocyte-macrophage- colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) polypeptide or fragment or derivative and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the same.

Group 2, claim(s) 16-19, 21-28, as drawn to a method for treating a mammal suffering from a localized bacterial infection or a bacterial-related disease comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of granulocyte- colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) polypeptide or fragment or derivative and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the same.

Group 3, claim(s) 16-19, 21-28, as drawn to a method for treating a mammal suffering from a localized bacterial infection or a bacterial-related disease comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of macrophage- colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) polypeptide or fragment or derivative and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the same.

Group 4, claim(s) 16-19, 21-28, as drawn to a method for treating a mammal suffering from a localized bacterial infection or a bacterial-related disease comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of multi-colony stimulating factor (multi-CSF) polypeptide or fragment or derivative and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the same.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-4 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/599,753 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

A. Group 1, requires the special technical features of treating a mammal suffering from a localized bacterial infection or a bacterial-related disease comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of granulocyte-macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) polypeptide or fragment or derivative and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the same, which is not required by the methods of Groups 2-4.

- B. Group 2, requires the special technical features of treating a mammal suffering from a localized bacterial infection or a bacterial-related disease comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of granulocyte- colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) polypeptide or fragment or derivative and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the same, which is not required by the methods of Groups 1 and 3-4.
- C. Group 3, requires the special technical features of treating a mammal suffering from a localized bacterial infection or a bacterial-related disease comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of macrophage- colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) polypeptide or fragment or derivative and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the same, which is not required by the methods of Groups 1-2 and 4.
- D. Group 4, requires the special technical features of treating a mammal suffering from a localized bacterial infection or a bacterial-related disease comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of multi-colony stimulating factor (multi-CSF) polypeptide or fragment or derivative and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the same, which is not required by the methods of Groups 1-3.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

Application/Control Number: 10/599,753 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,753 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/599,753 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to GYAN CHANDRA whose telephone number is

(571)272-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gyan Chandra Art Unit 1646

18 April 2008

Fax: 571-273-2922

/Robert Landsman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647